

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/480,223	01/10/2000	SEAMUS PAUL WHISTON	G0631/7010 4079	
75	90 01/31/2002			
STEVEN J HENRY C/O WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 022102215			EXAMINER	
			HUYNH, YENNHU B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	7
•			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,		W			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence		09/480,223	WHISTON ET AL.			
٠٨١	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication on	Yennhu B. Huynh	2813			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	August 2001 .				
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
_	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
	ation Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
44\	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	****				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
,	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachm	ent(s)					
2) 🔲 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) Otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Commation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/480,223

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims16-27 have been cancelled in Amendment filled on 8/27/01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 & 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 2 recites the limitation "the gate". There is insufficient antecedent basic for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 1, line 3 recites the limitation --a gate-- should be changed to read --the gate--.

In claim 13, line 3 recites the limitation "the breakdown voltage". There is insufficient antecedent basic for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2813

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Han et al. (U.S. 5,409,848) in view of Hshieh (U.S. 4,931,408).

Hshieh at figs. 1-11 in related art col. 1-6 disclose a method of forming a DMOS transistor, which includes forming a conductive gate over gate oxide; forming a body region, after formed the gates, by an ion implantation, extending beneath the gate, and align with the gate where the gate act as a mask; dopant boron or phosphorous implanted in the drain region is diffused into the body region (col.3 & 4).

However, Hshieh does not teach the implanting in a portion of the drain region or through the drain region, for forming the body region; and the dosage, energy and angle of implants as claimed.

Han et al. at figs.1 in related art col. 1-10 disclose a method of non uniformly doping a MOS using angles. Han does not teach the implanting in a portion of the drain region or through the drain region, for forming the body region, but Han teaches the use of oblique rotating ion implantation (col.1,2+) toward the drain to form pocket implants, as well as the precise dosage, energy level, and range of angle of the implants is optimized (col. 8, lines8-10). Han also teaches dopant boron is implanted to adjust the threshold voltage for CMOS circuits (cil.6) and the use of dopant boron/ phosphorous in the implantation at a position beneath the gates by ion implanting (col.7).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the technique of an oblique rotating ion implantation toward the drain to form pocket implants, as well as the optimited range of dosage, energy level, and angle of the implants, by Han's process, into Hshieh 's process to

Art Unit: 2813

have a desired drain/source threshold voltage or breakdown threshold voltage. This modification would completed a method for forming a DMOS device as claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14 & 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hshieh in view of Han and further in view of Contiero et.al. (Cited in Background of The Invention).

Hshieh and Han teach all substantially of the claimed invention except where the forming the body region in the drain region of the DMOS device is a LDMOS and is related to CMOS.

Contiero cited in Background of The Invention as set forth at page 1-3 of the specification, a method for integrating a self aligned DMOS device, with a body region formed beneath the gate by implanting into the drain region, which relates LDMOS to a CMOS and DMOS process.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the technique of an oblique rotating ion implantation toward the drain to form pocket implants, as well as the optimited range of dosage,

Application/Control Number: 09/480,223

Art Unit: 2813

energy level, and angle of the implants, by Han's process, into Contiero 's process of

forming of an LDMOS device related to a CMOS process, to control the threshold

breakdown voltage as desired. This modification would completed a method for

forming a DMOS device as claimed invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yennhu Huynh whose telephone number is

(703)308-6110. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from

8:00 AM to 4.30PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0956.

YNBH, 1/17/02

OLIK CHAUDHURI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800